

From Zach Vorst <zach_vorst@hotmail.com>

2024-03-04 10:56

Subject **Fwd: Policy BF**

To Stacie Raterman <Stacie_Raterman@hboe.org>

Attachments (3.09 KiB)

CAUTION: [This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe]

Get Outlook for iOS<<https://aka.ms/o0ukef>>

From: omar tarazi <omar_tarazi@hotmail.com>;

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 2:46 PM

To: Beth Murdoch <bethmurdochhcsb@gmail.com>; Zach Vorst <zach_vorst@hotmail.com>;

Subject: Re: Policy BF

Hi Beth,

It is a good start but look at my proposal and consider how I solved for the following potential issues:

How do you differentiate between a legitimate proposal and a bad faith proposal with no support. Let us say someone from the community puts forward a proposal for a policy requiring hanging the Russian flag in all classrooms, no staff time should be spent on that proposal. On the other hand, we don't want legitimate proposals like pledge, or transparency, to be killed in the policy committee or by the board chair by refusing to put it on the agenda. I fixed this problem with the idea of a sponsored policy and a Non-sponsored policy. If a single board member sponsors a policy it must get a recommendation one way or the other, and it must be brought back to the board for an up or down vote. Otherwise, you set yourself up to have everything you want to do potentially blocked by either Brian Perry in the policy committee, or whoever might be the board president.

Also, I would add the idea of getting a written opinion from the law director as to all policy proposals before they are voted on.

So, look at my proposal again, and maybe change it to secret ballot.

Thanks!

Omar Tarazi Esq.

614-226-2823

Thank you,
Beth

CAUTION: [This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you can confirm the sender and know the content is safe]

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: omar tarazi <omar_tarazi@hotmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 14, 2022 2:46 PM

To: Beth Murdoch <bethmurdochhcsb@gmail.com>; Zach Vorst <zach_vorst@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: Policy BF

Hi Beth,

It is a good start but look at my proposal and consider how I solved for the following potential issues:

How do you differentiate between a legitimate proposal and a bad faith proposal with no support. Let us say someone from the community puts forward a proposal for a policy requiring hanging the Russian flag in all classrooms, no staff time should be spent on that proposal. On the other hand, we don't want legitimate proposals like pledge, or transparency, to be killed in the policy committee or by the board chair by refusing to put it on the agenda. I fixed this problem with the idea of a sponsored policy and a Non-sponsored policy. If a single board member sponsors a policy it must get a recommendation one way or the other, and it must be brought back to the board for an up or down vote. Otherwise, you set yourself up to have everything you want to do potentially blocked by either Brian Perry in the policy committee, or whoever might be the board president.

Also, I would add the idea of getting a written opinion from the law director as to all policy proposals before they are voted on.

So, look at my proposal again, and maybe change it to secret ballot.

Thanks!

Omar Tarazi Esq.

614-226-2823

Thank you,

Beth